



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,709		01/26/2004	Edward E. Stockley	16725-010002	9206	
26231	7590	01/11/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & R	RICHARD	SON P.C.	PASCHALL, MARK H			
5000 BANK ONE CENTER 1717 MAIN STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	DALLAS, TX 75201			3742	-	
				DATE MAILED: 01/11/200	DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		50				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
08: 4-4: 0	10/764,709	STOCKLEY, EDWARD E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark H Paschall	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ ·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	ion No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01-26-04</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leach in view of Pettibone. Leach teaches an oven having two upper heating elements 68,92 and a lower heating element 82 as claimed. Leach does not teach the programmable controller which permits menu programming as claimed. The controller in Leach comprises selector units manually set. The patent to Pettibone et al is applied for teaching that an oven with multiple upper and lower heating elements can be programmer controlled via control panel 136 for different recipes and use of the same leads to a more versatile cooker. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Leach system with a more state of the art controller such as set froth in Pettibone, to attain the benefit of more versatility in cooking of different products and recipes. Note that different combinations of heating elements would be controlled by such modification. Also note that figure 2 in Leach teaches different combinations of heating elements. As per claim 2 note that Leach teaches a high temperature cutout as claimed. See column 4 lines 32 to 72. AS per claim 3 note that the further default menu called for could merely comprise a keep warm cycle which is conventional and standard in many cooking devices.

Art Unit: 3742

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. White and Hamstra are cited for disclosing heating controllers for ovens.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (703) 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742